Rai University AHMEDABAD
RISING - A Journal of Researchers
Bi-Annuals Peer Review Journal
Vol.5 Issue 1 (Jan 2021)
Impact Factor : 5.963
RISING A journal of researchers
ISSN No : 2456-7752

PREDATORY JOURNALS VS. CLONE JOURNALS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, IMPACT AND DETECTION STRATEGIES

D Arpita Umeshbhai Barot

Former Assistant Professor,
Department of Library and Information Science,
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, Gujarat.
arpitabarot10@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Clone journals and predatory journals represent two major threats to academic publishing, often undermining the integrity of scientific research. While clone journals imitate reputable journals to deceive authors, predatory journals exploit the open-access model to generate profit without proper peer review. This paper provides a comparative analysis of their characteristics, impact on academia, and strategies for detection and mitigation. We explore their influence on research quality, funding distribution, and scholarly communication while discussing methods to identify and combat these fraudulent publishing practices.

KEYWORDS: Journal, Predatory Journal, Publication Ethics, Research Strategy.

INTRODUCTION:

Academic publishing is an essential component of scholarly communication, ensuring the dissemination of high-quality research. However, with the advent of digital publishing and open-access models, unethical practices such as clone and predatory journals have emerged. This study aims to compare these two forms of fraudulent publishing, analyzing their characteristics, impact, and the mechanisms available to detect and prevent them.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Banker, Vipul P. (2018). This research paper provides an in-depth examination of clone journals, highlighting their deceptive nature, impact on academic integrity, and strategies for mitigation. It effectively outlines the psychological and professional consequences for researchers while offering practical solutions to identify and combat such journals. Through case studies and expert insights, the study emphasizes the urgent need for awareness and institutional safeguards. Overall, it is a well-structured and insightful contribution to addressing fraudulent practices in academic publishing.

Benkar, Alpana P. (2019). This research paper provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of predatory and clone journals, highlighting their deceptive practices and impact on academic integrity. It effectively distinguishes their characteristics, implications, and ethical concerns while proposing mitigation strategies for researchers, institutions, and policymakers. The study underscores the urgent need for awareness, regulation, and collaboration to uphold research credibility. Overall, it is a well-structured and insightful contribution to the discourse on unethical publishing practices.

Elmore, Susan A. and Weston, Eleanor H. (2020). This research paper provides a comprehensive analysis of predatory journals, detailing their deceptive practices and the risks they pose to authors and the scientific community. It effectively highlights the consequences of publishing in such journals, including fake peer review, reduced research visibility, and potential scams. The article also offers practical tools and strategies for identifying and avoiding predatory publishers, making it a valuable resource for researchers. Well-structured and informative, it serves as a crucial guide for safeguarding academic integrity.

CHARACTERISTICS OF CLONE JOURNALS AND PREDATORY JOURNALS:

Clone Journals

Clone journals are fraudulent publications that closely mimic reputable journals by copying their names, ISSNs, and even website layouts. These journals aim to deceive authors into submitting their manuscripts, often charging publication fees without conducting proper peer review.

Key Features:

- Use of nearly identical journal names and ISSNs
- Fake editorial boards with names of legitimate researchers
- Imitation of high-impact factor journal websites
- Lack of rigorous peer review and editorial processes
- Discrepancies in domain registration and official indexing

Predatory Journals

Predatory journals, in contrast, focus on monetizing academic publishing by offering rapid publication without quality control. These journals often lure unsuspecting researchers with promises of quick publication and impact factor rankings.

Key Features:

- Lack of transparent editorial policies
- False claims of indexing in reputable databases
- Aggressive solicitation of manuscripts via email
- Minimal or absent peer review process
- High publication fees with no ethical publishing standards

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS:

Similarities

Both clone and predatory journals exploit the weaknesses in academic publishing, particularly targeting researchers under pressure to publish. They lack proper peer review, misrepresent their credibility, and charge fees to publish substandard research.

Differences

Feature	Clone Journals	Predatory Journals
Primary Deception	Mimics legitimate journals	Claims legitimacy but lacks credibility
Target Audience	Authors seeking established journals	Authors looking for fast publication
Peer Review	Often fabricated	Non-existent or superficial
Business Model	Fraudulent identity theft of reputable journals	Exploitative, profit-driven model
Indexing	Fake claims of indexing in reputed databases	Often indexed in obscure or self-created directories

IMPACT ON ACADEMIA:

Research Integrity and Quality

Both clone and predatory journals degrade the integrity of scientific research by publishing low-quality or fraudulent studies. This results in misleading findings, harming disciplines such as medicine, engineering, and social sciences.

Funding and Career Consequences

Researchers publishing in such journals may face funding rejections, job losses, or damage to their reputations. Institutions that unknowingly support such publications risk undermining their credibility and academic standing.

Scientific Communication and Misinformation

The spread of unreliable research distorts the academic landscape, contributing to misinformation. This is particularly problematic in fields such as health sciences, where inaccurate studies can lead to harmful medical practices.

Detection Strategies

Identification Techniques

For Clone Journals:

- Checking ISSN databases for legitimacy
- Verifying publisher information on the official website
- Cross-checking editorial board members

For Predatory Journals:

- Consulting lists such as Beall's List and DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals)
- Evaluating peer review transparency and indexing claims
- Checking publication fees and turnaround time

Digital and AI-Based Solutions

Machine learning tools, plagiarism detection software, and bibliometric analyses can aid in identifying fraudulent journals. Initiatives like Retraction Watch and Think.Check.Submit provide additional layers of verification.

Recommendations and Policy Interventions

- **Stricter Regulations**: Governments and funding agencies should implement stringent policies against unethical publishing practices.
- **Researcher Education**: Training programs and awareness campaigns can help scholars distinguish legitimate journals from fraudulent ones.
- **Collaboration with Indexing Bodies**: Strengthening indexing criteria and verification processes can reduce the prevalence of these journals.
- **Institutional Support**: Universities should establish guidelines and advisory committees to guide faculty and students in identifying credible publication venues.

MAJOR FINDINGS:

Here are three major findings from the research paper:

1. Clone and predatory journals undermine research integrity by publishing low-quality or fraudulent studies without proper peer review. This spreads misleading findings, harming fields like medicine, engineering, and social sciences.
2. Publishing in fraudulent journals can lead to career setbacks, funding rejections, and loss of academic credibility. Institutions that support such publications risk reputational damage in the academic community.
3. Stronger detection methods, like verifying indexing claims, AI tools, and databases like Beall's List, help combat predatory journals. Policy interventions, researcher education, and institutional support are vital for prevention.

CONCLUSION:

Clone and predatory journals pose significant threats to academic publishing, affecting research integrity, funding distribution, and scholarly communication. While their methods of deception differ, both exploit weaknesses in the publishing industry. Effective detection strategies, technological solutions, and policy interventions are essential to safeguard academic integrity. By fostering awareness and implementing stringent measures, the academic community can combat the proliferation of these fraudulent journals and uphold the credibility of scientific research.

REFERENCES:

1. Bartholomew, R. E. (2014). Science for sale: the rise of predatory journals. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 107(10), 384-385.
2. Kebede, M., Schmaus-Klughammer, A. E., & Tekle, B. T. (2017). Manuscript submission invitations from 'predatory journals': what should authors do?. Journal of Korean medical science, 32(5), 709-712.
3. Banker, Vipul P. (2018). An anxiety on Clone Journals. Rising: A Journal of Researchers, 2(1), 11-17.
4. Demir, S. B. (2018). Predatory journals: Who publishes in them and why?. Journal of informetrics, 12(4), 1296-1311.
5. McGowan, A., & O'Riordan, T. (2018). Distorting the Integrity of Scientific Publication. Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development, 60(3), 2-3.
6. Benkar, Alpana P. (2019). Predatory Journals vs. Clone Journals: a comparative study. Rising: A Journal of Researchers, 3(1), 1-9.
7. Cobey, K. D., Grudniewicz, A., Lalu, M. M., Rice, D. B., Raffoul, H., & Moher, D. (2019). Knowledge and motivations of researchers publishing in presumed predatory journals: a survey. BMJ open, 9(3), e026516.
8. Grudniewicz, A., Moher, D., Cobey, K. D., Bryson, G. L., Cukier, S., Allen, K., ... & Lalu, M. M. (2019). Predatory journals: no definition, no defence.
9. Cukier, S., Lalu, M., Bryson, G. L., Cobey, K. D., Grudniewicz, A., & Moher, D. (2020). Defining predatory journals and responding to the threat they pose: a modified Delphi consensus process. BMJ open, 10(2), e035561.
10. Elmore, S. A., & Weston, E. H. (2020). Predatory journals: what they are and how to avoid them. Toxicologic pathology, 48(4), 607-610.